

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 TAJAI CALIP,
TAJAI CALIP,
Plaintiff.

8 v.
9 OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL
10 DISTRICT,
11 Defendant.

Case No. [15-cv-00877-SI](#)

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN
FORMA PAUPERIS AND DENYING
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS**

20 Re: Dkt. No. 10, 15, 18

21 On April 28, 2015, defendant Oakland Unified School District filed a motion to dismiss
22 this case based on plaintiff's failure to comply with court orders directing plaintiff to file an
23 amended complaint and an amended application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. However, on April
24 27, 2015, plaintiff filed an amended complaint and an amended application to proceed *in forma*
25 *pauperis*; those documents were entered onto the docket on April 28, 2015. The docket reflects
26 that on April 27, 2015, plaintiff filed a notice of her correct address, and that prior to that date
numerous documents and court orders sent to plaintiff had been returned as undeliverable.

27 The Court has reviewed the amended application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and finds
28 that plaintiff has added the information that was missing from the original application. Having
considered the amended application and amended complaint, the Court hereby **GRANTS**
plaintiff's application. The Clerk of Court shall issue the summons. Furthermore, the U.S.
Marshal for the Northern District of California shall serve, without prepayment of fees, a copy of
the amended complaint, any amendments or attachments, plaintiff's affidavit and this order upon
defendant.

27 The Court notes that plaintiff filed an opposition to defendant's motion to dismiss in which
28 she discusses difficulties she has experienced representing herself in this case. The Court advises

1 plaintiff that assistance is available at the Northern District's Pro Se Help Desk (415-782-8982),
2 and see also <http://cand.uscourts.gov/helpcentersf>.¹

3
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 Dated: June 2, 2015

7
8 
SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge

9
10
11 United States District Court
12 Northern District of California
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 _____
27 ¹ Plaintiff also states that she has requested that this case be transferred to the Oakland Division.
28 The Court informs plaintiff that pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(d), "all civil actions which arise
in the counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Marin, Mendocino, Napa,
San Francisco, San Mateo or Sonoma shall be assigned to the San Francisco Division or the
Oakland Division," and thus the Court is unfortunately not able to accommodate plaintiff's
request.